# Legal uncertainty around AI and copyright puts pressure on UK businesses



The legal complexities of artificial intelligence are becoming increasingly urgent in the UK, as delays to the Data (Use and Access) Bill highlight deep concerns over AI’s role in data usage and copyright. With growing instances of data breaches, misinformation and intellectual property violations, businesses are being urged to adopt clear and robust guidelines for AI use.

Recent cases have seen sensitive data entered into open AI platforms, breaching data protection laws. Other incidents have involved users unknowingly infringing on copyright by using AI-generated content based on protected material. Meanwhile, inaccuracies in AI-generated documents have already led to legal claims, underscoring the dual threat of misuse and misinformation.

A major driver of concern is the lack of dedicated AI legislation. Existing laws are being stretched to apply to new technologies, creating confusion for businesses. While the UK government’s AI regulatory principles promote safety, transparency and fairness, many firms have embraced AI without fully considering the legal risks.

Data protection remains a key issue. AI systems typically process vast amounts of personal data, making compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation more critical than ever. As public demand grows for transparency in how data is used, businesses must prepare for greater scrutiny. US court rulings have already reinforced the importance of maintaining audit trails, with implications for UK firms facing potential data complaints or requests.

Intellectual property adds another layer of complexity. When AI generates content based on copyrighted works, it is unclear who bears responsibility for infringement: the user, the developer, or neither. There is also legal ambiguity around whether AI-generated content qualifies for copyright protection in the absence of human authorship.

Efforts to clarify these issues through legislation have faltered. In 2023, the UK government withdrew plans for a broad text and data mining exception following criticism from the creative industries. The fallout has contributed to the delays in the Data (Use and Access) Bill, leaving businesses to navigate a shifting regulatory environment on their own.

To manage this uncertainty, companies are advised to create clear internal policies for AI use, provide training for staff and monitor how AI systems operate. These processes can be integrated into existing structures to support compliance and reduce risk.

While AI promises powerful opportunities for innovation, it also raises significant legal and ethical challenges. With regulation lagging behind technology, businesses must take the lead in ensuring their use of AI is responsible, lawful and prepared for the future.
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